

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 01

I support the proposals.

Comments

As long as it is only double yellow lines as per plans- as the parking on oneside of the road from outside The Bush Public House to Unwin Close, i feel actually reduces the speed of traffic into the Village and out of it. So should be maintained.

Response

Thank you for your response

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 02

I support the proposals.

Comments

No comments supplied

Response

Thank you for your response

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 03

I support the proposals.

Comments

I fully support all and any forms of parking restrictions being put in place along Rochester Rd and would hope that restrictions would go further and put in residents parking permit zones. The use of the local roads by non locals using the area to park up instead of the two currently free car parks along with Aylesford Football Club taking over the village is making it impossible to get around the local area at certain times of the day! Yellow lines whilst to some are a deterrent are seen merely as free parking zone to other non residents with little or no concern for actual local residents.

Response

Thank you for your response

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 04

I support the proposals.

Comments

Traffic backs up due to obstructive parking. My house is adjacent to the problem spot and suffers from excessive use of vehicle horns when motorists get impatient.

Response

Thank you for your response

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 05

I object to the proposals.

Comments

There is not enough available parking on Rochester Road. If for some reason myself, my family, friends etc can not park on our drive in many circumstances the only place to park is where the yellow lines aim to be. On 3 occasions vehicles have been keyed/scratched when parking in unwin close, so parking there is a no go. If the yellow lines are put in place where is going to be the nearest place to park? The nearest place I can think of are the 2 car parks by the river and along Forstal road. These options are not what I consider decent alternatives especially for elderly or disabled people. Plus they are quite far away and already get busy. If the new road to quarry was open to parking then I would say I don't object to the yellow lines

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 06

I object to the proposals. **(NOTE - This is a duplicate comment - more than one response has been received from this household.)**

Comments

Also, if the yellow lines are put in place, people may park further up Rochester road in between the speed bumps which will cause traffic congestion. Traffic congestion is already a problem sometimes on Rochester road

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 07

I support the proposals.

Comments

To reduce congestion in this area. It will encourage more parking in Unwin Close where it is already difficult to gain access to or from Nos 2 and 11 Unwin Close.

Please consider this as a request to limit parking along Unwin Close as a later item for the Parking Action Plan

Response

Thank you for your response

We note the concerns about potential parking displacement in to Unwin Close, and will look to add Unwin Close to the holding list for consideration under a later Phase of the Parking Action Plan.

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 08

I support the proposals.

Comments

Parking has become a big safety issue on Rochester Road, I fully support the proposals.

St Peter's school pupils use this section of Rochester Road to cross over to Mount Pleasant, with the proposed restrictions in place, crossing the road will be much safer due to the non-obstructed view.

Response

Thank you for your response

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 09

I support the proposals.

Comments

We support to the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Rochester Road, shown on plan DD/598/02

Response

Thank you for your response

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 10

I support the proposals.

Comments

WE AGREE !!!!!

Response

Thank you for your comments

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 11

I object to the proposals.

Comments

I have again consulted with immediate neighbours regarding your proposal for double yellow lines and can confirm that they do not want double yellow line outside their house, therefore I again object to this going ahead outside my property too. I am a permanent resident at 92 Rochester Road and have never seen any vehicles parked in this area you intend to make unwanted changes with the implementation of double yellow lines. I am also the highest council tax band resident to Tonbridge & West Malling Borough Council within the village and do not want unsightly double yellow lines outside my property, thus potentially impacting its saleability or market value. Should this be the case that this goes ahead despite our objection, will Tonbridge & West Malling Borough Council be prepared to compensate in the circumstances of any losses? Please can you provide data of surveys conducted / carried out where it has been recorded that vehicles have parked within the area of your new proposal? I want to be provided with factual evidence, since it is quite plain that there are no vehicles that park here whatsoever, therefore, require an explanation into your motives. Only two properties directly occupy the position on the left-hand side of the road on your plan, that being 90 and 92 Rochester Road and do not want this. If, as you suggest Unwin Close want double yellow lines outside their properties then that is their choice and not a decision I should be allowed to influence.

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 12

I support the proposals.

Comments

As previously commented the proximity of parked vehicles to our driveway makes it difficult & dangerous to exit onto Rochester Road due to restricted view of traffic, especially cyclists, however slowly you emerge.

It is also hazardous for pedestrians, especially walking children when vehicle park 2 wheels on narrow pavement. (Photos provided)

Response

Thank you for your response

Formal Consultation response – Aylesford, Rochester Road

Response ref: DD-598-02 Aylesford - Rochester Road - 13

I support the proposals.

Comments

I was disappointed to see that the new proposals do not take into account lengthening the already existing double yellow lines at the junction of Unwin Close and Rochester Road. There are existing double yellow lines going towards the village at this junction. However they cover only a very short distance down Rochester Road and make it extremely dangerous when trying to exit Unwin Close. Vision is very poor and there have already been many near misses at this junction. Hopefully your new plans will make leaving the close and turning right much safer. However turning left from the the close is very hazardous. Could the council reconsider extending the already existing double yellow lines down Rochester Road as a short extension is all that is needed to make it much safer for the residents.

Response

Thank you for your response

We note the concerns about potential parking displacement in to Unwin Close, and will look to add Unwin Close to the holding list for consideration under a later Phase of the Parking Action Plan.